

## Volume 39, Issue 4

### General analysis of dynamic oligopoly with sticky price

Masahiko Hattori

*Faculty of Economics, Doshisha University, Japan*

Yasuhito Tanaka

*Faculty of Economics, Doshisha University, Japan*

#### Abstract

We present a differential game analysis of an oligopoly with sticky price under general demand and cost functions. We show that the output of each firm at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly is generally larger than the output of each firm at the equilibrium of the static oligopoly, and the output of each firm at the steady state of the memoryless closed-loop dynamic oligopoly is generally larger than that at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly. We also present an analysis of the feedback dynamic oligopoly.

---

The authors thank the referee and the editorial office of this journal for carefully reading our manuscript and for giving constructive comments which substantially helped improving the quality of the paper. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (Grant Number 18K01594 and 18K12780).

**Citation:** Masahiko Hattori and Yasuhito Tanaka, (2019) "General analysis of dynamic oligopoly with sticky price", *Economics Bulletin*, Volume 39, Issue 4, pages 2990-2998

**Contact:** Masahiko Hattori - [mhattori@mail.doshisha.ac.jp](mailto:mhattori@mail.doshisha.ac.jp), Yasuhito Tanaka - [yatanaka@mail.doshisha.ac.jp](mailto:yatanaka@mail.doshisha.ac.jp).

**Submitted:** June 16, 2019. **Published:** December 24, 2019.

# 1 Introduction

There are many studies of dynamic oligopoly by differential game approach, for example, Simaan and Takayama (1978), Fershtman and Kamien (1987), Cellini and Lambertini (2004) and Cellini and Lambertini (2007) about behaviors of firms and market structures with dynamics of sticky prices in an oligopoly with a homogeneous good or differentiated goods, Cellini and Lambertini (2003a) and Cellini and Lambertini (2003b) about advertising investment with dynamics of accumulated advertising effects in an oligopoly with a homogeneous good or differentiated goods, Cellini and Lambertini (2005) and Cellini and Lambertini (2011) about R&D investment with dynamics of accumulated cost reducing effects in an oligopoly with a homogeneous good or differentiated goods, Fujiwara (2006) about a Stackelberg duopoly, Fujiwara (2008) about competitiveness of markets in an oligopoly with renewable resource. For a comprehensive survey see Dockner et al. (2000) and Lambertini (2018).

However, most of these studies used a model of linear demand functions and quadratic cost functions. We extend an analysis of Cellini and Lambertini (2004) about an oligopoly with sticky price to a case of general demand and cost functions. We investigate steady states of an open-loop dynamic oligopoly, a memoryless closed-loop dynamic oligopoly and a feedback dynamic oligopoly. We show that the output of each firm at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly is generally larger than the output of each firm at the equilibrium of the static oligopoly, and the output of each firm at the steady state of the memoryless closed-loop dynamic oligopoly is generally larger than that at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly. We also show that if the output of each firm is increasing with respect to the price, the output of each firm at the steady state of the feedback dynamic oligopoly is larger than the output of each firm at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly, and show that an example of linear demand and quadratic cost functions presented by Cellini and Lambertini (2004) and Lambertini (2018) satisfies this condition.

## 2 Open-loop analysis of dynamic oligopoly

Consider a symmetric oligopoly where, at any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $n$  firms, Firms 1, 2,  $\dots$ ,  $n$  produce a homogeneous good. The firms intertemporally maximize their profits. Let  $x_i(t)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , be the outputs of the firms,  $p(t)$  be the price of the good.

The inverse demand function is written as

$$\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t)).$$

It is decreasing and twice differentiable. The cost function of Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , is

$$C(x_i(t)).$$

It is increasing and twice differentiable. All firms have the same cost function. The instantaneous profit of Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , is

$$\pi_i(t) = x_i(t)p(t) - C(x_i(t)).$$

The problem of Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , is written as

$$\max_{x_i(t)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} [x_i(t)p(t) - C(x_i(t))] dt, \rho > 0.$$

$\rho$  is the discount rate. The moving of the price is governed by

$$\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = s [\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t)) - p(t)], s > 0, p(0) > 0.$$

The present value Hamiltonian function of Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , is

$$\mathcal{H}_i(t) = e^{-\rho t} \{x_i(t)p(t) - C(x_i(t)) + \lambda_i(t)s [\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t)) - p(t)]\}.$$

The current value Hamiltonian function of Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t) &= e^{\rho t} \mathcal{H}_i(t) \\ &= x_i(t)p(t) - C(x_i(t)) + \lambda_i(t)s [\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t)) - p(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mu_i(t) = e^{-\rho t} \lambda_i(t), i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

It is the costate variable. The first order condition for Firm  $i$  is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)} = 0.$$

Using the current value Hamiltonian function, it is written as

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)} = p(t) + \hat{p}'(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))\lambda_i(t)s - C'(x_i(t)) = 0. \quad (1)$$

The second order condition is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)^2} = \hat{p}''(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))\lambda_i(t)s - C''(x_i(t)) < 0. \quad (2)$$

The adjoint condition is

$$-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_i(t)}{\partial p(t)} = \frac{\partial \mu_i(t)}{\partial t} = e^{-\rho t} \left[ \frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} - \rho \lambda_i(t) \right], i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Using the current value Hamiltonian function, it is written as

$$-\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial p(t)} = -x_i(t) + \lambda_i(t)s = \frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} - \rho \lambda_i(t), i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

This means

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} = (\rho + s)\lambda_i(t) - x_i(t), i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Differentiating (1) with respect to time, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [C''(x_i(t)) - \hat{p}''\lambda_i(t)s] \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} &= \frac{dp(t)}{dt} + \hat{p}' \frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} s \\ &= \frac{dp(t)}{dt} + \hat{p}' s [(\rho + s)\lambda_i(t) - x_i(t)], \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We denote  $\hat{p}'(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))$  by  $\hat{p}'$ , and  $\hat{p}''(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))$  by  $\hat{p}''$ .

At the steady state  $\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = 0$ ,  $\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} = 0$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . By symmetry of the oligopoly all  $x_i$ 's are equal. Denote  $x_i(t)$ ,  $p(t)$  and  $\lambda_i(t)$  at the steady state by  $x^*$ ,  $p^*$  and  $\lambda^*$ . Then, from (3)

$$(\rho + s)\lambda^* = x^*.$$

Substituting this into (1) yields

$$(\rho + s) \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)} = (\rho + s)[p^* - C'(x^*)] + \hat{p}' s x^* = 0. \quad (4)$$

Since  $\hat{p}' < 0$ , we have

$$p^* - C'(x^*) > 0. \quad (5)$$

The profit of Firm  $i$  in the static oligopoly is

$$\pi_i(t) = \hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))x_i(t) - C_i(x_i(t)).$$

The first order condition for profit maximization is

$$\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t)) + \hat{p}'(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))x_i(t) - C'_i(x_i(t)) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Denote the output of each firm and the price of the good at the equilibrium of the static oligopoly by  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{p}$ . Then,

$$\tilde{p} + \hat{p}'\tilde{x} - C'(\tilde{x}) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Suppose that  $x_i(t) = \tilde{x}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . From (7)

$$\tilde{p} - C'(\tilde{x}) = -\hat{p}'\tilde{x}.$$

Substituting this into the left-hand side of (4) assuming  $x^* = \tilde{x}$ , we have

$$(\rho + s) \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)} = -(\rho + s)\hat{p}'\tilde{x} + \hat{p}'s\tilde{x} = -\rho\hat{p}'s\tilde{x}.$$

Since  $\hat{p}' < 0$ , this is positive. Thus, by the second order condition,

$$x^* > \tilde{x}.$$

We have shown the following result.

**Proposition 1.** *The output of each firm at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly is larger than the output of each firm at the static equilibrium.*

### 3 Closed-loop analysis of dynamic oligopoly

In this section, according to the analyses by Cellini and Lambertini (2003a), Cellini and Lambertini (2004), Cellini and Lambertini (2007) and Lambertini (2018)(p.65), we consider a memoryless closed-loop approach to a dynamic oligopoly. The current value Hamiltonian function and the first order condition for Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , are the same as those in the open-loop case as follows.

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t) = x_i(t)p(t) - C(x_i(t)) + \lambda_i(t)s [\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t)) - p(t)].$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)} = p(t) + \hat{p}'(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))\lambda_i(t)s - C'(x_i(t)) = 0. \quad (1)$$

This condition for Firm  $j$  is written as

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_j(t)}{\partial x_j(t)} = p(t) + \hat{p}'(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))\lambda_j(t)s - C'(x_j(t)) = 0. \quad (8)$$

The second order condition for Firm  $i$  is the same as (2) as follows.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)^2} = \hat{p}''(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t))\lambda_i(t)s - C''(x_i(t)) < 0. \quad (2)$$

The adjoint condition for Firm  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is different from that in the open-loop case. In the closed-loop case it is written as

$$-\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial p(t)} - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_j(t)} \frac{\partial x_j(t)}{\partial p(t)} = \frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} - \rho \lambda_i(t). \quad (9)$$

The term in (9)

$$-\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_j(t)} \frac{\partial x_j(t)}{\partial p(t)}$$

takes into account the interaction between the control variables of the firms other than Firm  $i$  and the current level of the state variable. We have

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_j(t)} = \hat{p}'\lambda_i(t)s.$$

About  $\frac{\partial x_j(t)}{\partial p(t)}$  from (8),

$$\frac{\partial x_j(t)}{\partial p(t)} = \frac{1}{C''(x_j(t)) - \hat{p}''\lambda_j(t)s}.$$

Therefore, (9) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial p(t)} - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_j(t)} \frac{\partial x_j(t)}{\partial p(t)} \\ & = -x_i(t) + \lambda_i(t)s - \hat{p}'\lambda_i(t)s \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{C''(x_j(t)) - \hat{p}''\lambda_j(t)s} = \frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} - \rho \lambda_i(t). \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} = (\rho + s)\lambda_i(t) - x_i(t) - \hat{p}'\lambda_i(t)s \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{C''(x_j(t)) - \hat{p}''\lambda_j(t)s}.$$

Differentiating (1) with respect to time, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [C''(x_i(t)) - \hat{p}'\lambda_i(t)s] \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = \frac{dp(t)}{dt} + \hat{p}' \frac{\partial \lambda_i(t)}{\partial t} s = \frac{dp(t)}{dt} + \hat{p}'s[(\rho + s)\lambda_i(t) - x_i(t)] \\ & - (\hat{p}'s)^2 \lambda_i(t) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{C''(x_j(t)) - \hat{p}''\lambda_j(t)s}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned}$$

At the steady state  $\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = 0$ ,  $\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial t} = 0$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . By symmetry of the oligopoly all  $x_i$ 's are equal and all  $\lambda_i(t)$ 's are equal. Denote  $x_i(t)$ ,  $p(t)$  and  $\lambda_i(t)$  at the steady state by  $x^{**}$ ,  $p^{**}$  and  $\lambda^{**}$ . Then,

$$\left[ (\rho + s) - \hat{p}'s(n-1) \frac{1}{C''(x^{**}) - \hat{p}''\lambda^{**}s} \right] \lambda^{**} = x^{**}.$$

Substituting this into (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ (\rho + s) - \hat{p}'s(n-1) \frac{1}{C''(x^{**}) - \hat{p}''\lambda^{**}s} \right] \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)} \\ & = \left[ (\rho + s) - \hat{p}'s(n-1) \frac{1}{C''(x^{**}) - \hat{p}''\lambda^{**}s} \right] [p^{**} - C'(x^{**})] + \hat{p}'sx^{**} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Suppose  $x_i(t) = x^*$ , which is the output of each firm in the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly, for all  $i$ . From (4) in the open-loop case

$$p^* - C'(x^*) = -\frac{\hat{p}'s}{\rho + s} x^*.$$

Substituting this into the left-hand side of (10) assuming  $x^{**} = x^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ (\rho + s) - \hat{p}'s(n-1) \frac{1}{C''(x^*) - \hat{p}''\lambda^*s} \right] \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(t)}{\partial x_i(t)} \\ & = -\hat{p}'s(n-1) \frac{1}{C''(x^*) - \hat{p}''\lambda^*s} [p^* - C'(x^*)]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From (5),

$$p^* - C'(x^*) > 0.$$

It means that the price is larger than the marginal cost. Note that from the first order condition (1), if  $x^{**} = x^*$ , we have

$$\lambda^{**} = \lambda^* = -\frac{1}{\hat{p}'s} [p^{**} - C'(x^{**})] > 0.$$

Since  $\hat{p}' < 0$  and by the second order condition (2) in the open-loop case,

$$C''(x^*) - \hat{p}''\lambda^*s > 0,$$

(11) is positive. Therefore, we get

$$x^{**} > x^*.$$

We have shown the following result.

**Proposition 2.** *The output of each firm at the steady state of the closed-loop dynamic oligopoly is larger than the output of each firm at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly.*

## 4 Feedback analysis of dynamic oligopoly

Finally we consider the feedback solution. Let  $V_i(p(t))$  be the value function of Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation for Firm  $i$  is written as

$$\rho V_i(p(t)) = \max_{x_i(t)} \{x_i(t)p(t) - C(x_i(t)) + \frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} s [\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots + x_n(t)) - p(t)]\}.$$

The first order condition is

$$p(t) - C'(x_i(t)) + \frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} s \hat{p}' = 0. \quad (12)$$

The second order condition is

$$-C''(x_i(t)) + \frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} s \hat{p}'' < 0.$$

From (12) we get

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dp(t)} = \frac{1 + \frac{\partial^2 V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)^2} s \hat{p}'}{C''(x_i(t)) - \frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} s \hat{p}''}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} = -\frac{[p(t) - C'(x_i(t))]}{s \hat{p}'}. \quad (14)$$

Substituting this into the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation yields

$$\rho V_i(p(t)) = x_i(t)p(t) - C(x_i(t)) - \frac{p(t) - C'(x_i(t))}{\hat{p}'} [\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots x_n(t)) - p(t)].$$

This is an identity. Differentiating this with respect to  $p(t)$  taking (13) into account, given symmetry of the oligopoly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} &= x_i(t) + \frac{p(t) - C'(x_i(t))}{\hat{p}'} - \frac{\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots x_n(t)) - p(t)}{\hat{p}'} \\ &+ \left\{ p(t) - C'(x_i(t)) + \frac{n\hat{p}''[p(t) - C'(x_i(t))][\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots x_n(t)) - p(t)]}{(\hat{p}')^2} \right. \\ &\left. - n[p(t) - C'(x_i(t))] + \frac{C''(x_i(t))[\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots x_n(t)) - p(t)]}{\hat{p}'} \right\} \frac{dx_i(t)}{dp(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

At the steady state we have  $\hat{p}(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + \dots x_n(t)) - p(t) = 0$ . Denote the steady state values of  $x_i(t)$  and  $p(t)$  by  $x^F$  and  $p^F$ , we get

$$\rho \frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} = x^F + \frac{p^F - C'(x^F)}{\hat{p}'} - (n-1)[p^F - C'(x^F)] \frac{dx_i(t)}{dp(t)}$$

Combining this with (14) yields

$$(\rho + s)[p^F - C'(x^F)] + \hat{p}'sx^F - (n-1)\hat{p}'s[p^F - C'(x^F)] \frac{dx_i(t)}{dp(t)} = 0.$$

If  $\frac{dx_i(t)}{dp(t)} > 0$ , that is, the output of each firm is increasing with respect to the price, we obtain  $x^F > x^*$ . Thus, we have shown the following result.

**Proposition 3.** *If the output of each firm is increasing with respect to the price, the output of each firm at the steady state of the feedback dynamic oligopoly is larger than the output of each firm at the steady state of the open-loop dynamic oligopoly.*

## Linear and quadratic example

According to Cellini and Lambertini (2004), we assume that the inverse demand function is

$$\hat{p}(t) = a - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(t).$$

$a$  is a positive constant, the cost function of Firm  $i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , is

$$C(x_i(t)) = cx_i(t) + \frac{1}{2}x_i(t)^2,$$

and  $V_i(p(t))$  is

$$V_i(p(t)) = \frac{k_i p(t)^2}{2} + h_i p(t) + g_i.$$



Thus,

$$\frac{\partial V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)} = k_i p(t) + h_i, \quad \frac{\partial^2 V_i(p(t))}{\partial p(t)^2} = k_i, \quad \frac{dx_i(t)}{dp(t)} = 1 - k_i s.$$

$k_i$ ,  $h_i$  and  $g_i$  are constants. From (14),

$$x_i(t) = (1 - k_i s)p(t) - c - h_i s. \quad (16)$$

From (15) with symmetry of the oligopoly,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k_i p(t) + h_i) = & x_i - [p(t) - c - x_i(t)] + [a - nx_i - p(t)] \\ & + \{-(n-1)[p(t) - c - x_i(t)] - [a - nx_i - p(t)]\}(1 - k_i s). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17), we get

$$k_i = \frac{2ns + 2s + \rho - \sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)\rho s + \rho^2}}{2(2n - 1)s^2},$$

and

$$h_i = \frac{c - (cn + a)sk_i}{(2n - 1)k_i s^2 - (n + 1)s - r}.$$

Also we have

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dp(t)} = 1 - k_i s = \frac{\sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)\rho s + \rho^2} + 2ns - 4s - \rho}{2(2n - 1)s} > 0,$$

because

$$(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)\rho s + \rho^2 - (2ns - 4s - \rho)^2 = 4(2n - 1)s(2s + \rho) > 0.$$

Therefore, this example satisfies the condition for Proposition 3. The steady state output of each firm is

$$\begin{aligned} x^F = & \frac{(a - c)n\sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)rs + r^2} - \sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)rs + r^2}}{n(n\sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)rs + r^2} - 2\sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)rs + r^2} + 2n^2s + 4s + 3nr)} \\ & + \frac{(a - c)(2n^2s - 2ns + 2s + 3nr - r)}{n(n\sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)rs + r^2} - 2\sqrt{(4n^2 + 8)s^2 + (4n + 4)rs + r^2} + 2n^2s + 4s + 3nr)}. \end{aligned}$$

About details please see Cellini and Lambertini (2004) and Lambertini (2018)(pp.59-61).

## 5 Concluding Remark

Assumptions of linear demand and quadratic cost functions are very limited. Analyses of dynamic oligopoly with general demand and cost functions may be applicable to some situations, in particular, various comparative statics.

## Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referee and the editorial office of this journal for carefully reading our manuscript and for giving constructive comments which substantially helped improving the quality of the paper.

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (Grant Number 18K01594 and 18K12780).

## References

- R. Cellini and L. Lambertini. Advertising in a differential oligopoly game. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 116:61–81, 2003a.
- R. Cellini and L. Lambertini. Advertising with spillover effects in a differential oligopoly with differentiated goods. *Central European Journal of Operations Research*, 11:409–423, 2003b.
- R. Cellini and L. Lambertini. Dynamic oligopoly with sticky prices: Closed-loop, feedback and open-loop solutions. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 10:303–314, 2004.
- R. Cellini and L. Lambertini. R&D incentives and market structure: Dynamic analysis. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 126:85–96, 2005.
- R. Cellini and L. Lambertini. A differential oligopoly game with differentiated goods and sticky prices. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 176:1131–1144, 2007.
- R. Cellini and L. Lambertini. R&D incentives under Bertrand competition: A differential game. *Japanese Economic Review*, 62:387–400, 2011.
- E. J. Dockner, S. Jørgensen, N. V. Long, and G. Sorger. *Differential Games in Economics and Management Science*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- C. Fershtman and M. Kamien. Dynamic duopolistic competition with sticky prices. *Econometrica*, 55:1151–64, 1987.
- K. Fujiwara. A stackelberg game model of dynamic duopolistic competition with sticky prices. *Economics Bulletin*, 12:1–9, 2006.
- K. Fujiwara. Duopoly can be more anti-competitive than monopoly. *Economics Letters*, 101:217–219, 2008.
- L. Lambertini. *Differential Games in Industrial Economics*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- M. Simaan and T. Takayama. Game theory applied to dynamic duopoly problems with production constraints. *Automatica*, 14:161–166, 1978.